

## **The Methow Valley needs your input on the Twisp Restoration Project!**

The Forest Service is requesting comments on the Twisp River Restoration proposal by  
**December 18th.**

MVCC strongly encourages YOU to comment!

**What it is:** The Twisp Restoration Project is a massive (77,000 acre) forest treatment plan in Twisp River drainages. It will determine forest practices there for well over a decade and dramatically alter the landscape. It is a complex and multifaceted proposal being implemented in five separate phases. Simplifying, it includes:

- Fire risk reduction (commercial and non-commercial thinning, prescribed burns, and fuel breaks)
- Habitat improvement through commercial and non-commercial thinning, and other projects
- Salvage logging (really, commercial logging of burned trees)
- Stream projects to improve habitat for fish, and creation of some man-made beaver dams
- Opening ATV access on 22 miles of roads
- Building new roads and decommissioning 3x as many old roads

For details of the plan, go to <https://www.fs.usda.gov/project/?project=56554>

As you can see, there is something in the plan for everyone. There's a lot to be interested in, and a lot to be concerned about. The unprecedented scale of this forest treatment plan requires some time to fully understand how this will affect the ecological and recreation values we all cherish.

To that end, MVCC has the following concerns. Feel free to use these in comments that you submit.

### **1. The project's scale and complexity demand additional public engagement**

While Methow Valley Citizens Council advocates for forest restoration in the face of climate change, a project of this size and duration, (77,000 acres and more than a decade), deserves much more scrutiny to make sure it is done right. The proposal contains many new concepts which will require time for our community to understand and comment on intelligently. There's a need for site visits and more public meetings over time to learn about what is being planned and how we'll all be impacted. Public comment should not be a one-time opportunity. We all have a role to play in influencing how this project unfolds as well as supporting our public lands. We have requested that the Forest Service:

- Issue separate decisions for each of the five phases of the project and allow for public input at each phase and decision point. Also incorporate an adaptive management strategy that allows course corrections along the way as new information becomes available.

### **2. The proposal allows for the cutting of large trees, departing from the Forest Service's own Restoration Strategy**

The 2012 Forest Restoration Strategy describes the Forest Service's method for implementing their "Restoration Vision." It provides the scientific basis for forest restoration treatments. The Twisp proposal appears to deviate from the guidance set forth in the Restoration Strategy without explanation. Most notably, while the current written direction for the Okanogan Wenatchee National Forest discourages

cutting large trees over 20" in diameter, the Twisp project proposes cutting trees up to 30" while acknowledging large and old trees are deficient within the project area. This seems to contradict the Forest Service's own guidance.

Further, monitoring and evaluation are essential to successful forest restoration yet are not included in the proposal. The Restoration Strategy emphasizes their importance. On a project of this scope, tracking outcomes in order to continuously improve should be prioritized.

The Forest Service should:

- Follow the direction outlined in the restoration strategy and avoid logging trees over 20" in diameter. Logging of any larger trees should be described as an exception to the rule.
- Develop a clear monitoring and tracking program and develop measures to adapt the project over time.

### **3. New ATV Access along the Upper Twisp River doesn't belong in the proposal.**

22 miles of wheeled ATV road access (from Twisp River Sno-Park to Roads End and back to War Creek Campground) are included in this **forest restoration proposal**. The Methow Valley and Okanogan County have a long history of ATV regulation and use. Concerns over user conflicts, wildlife impacts, unauthorized creation of trails, illegal use and lack of enforcement should be considered in detail. A forest restoration project isn't the place for this. ATV use is not compatible with ecological restoration objectives. Instead, ATV road authorization should be proposed and evaluated in the Forest's forthcoming Travel Management Plan.

We ask that:

- The Forest Service remove the ATV access component of the project and consider this proposal in a separate process.

### **4. The proposal should fully take into account large areas already in special old growth reserves, roadless status and/or with potential for wilderness designation**

Old growth reserves are part of a regional network established for the purpose of maintaining old growth habitat. Roadless Areas are lands determined to have wilderness values and which could be considered for that status in the future. The current proposal fails to show how aggressive thinning and fuel breaks in these areas will improve the forest's ability to maintain and improve mature forest habitat. These areas have been set aside for conservation and need to be managed to preserve functioning habitat, while improving resilience.

Key points are:

- The Forest Service should not call for large fuel breaks in old-growth reserves and focus on thinning small trees from below in Roadless Areas.
- Treatments in these protected areas should be consistent with their management objectives, permitting only thinning of small-diameter trees and avoiding new road construction and ground disturbing activities.

## 5. Salvage Logging should not be included.

Salvage logging is proposed in the designated Sawtooth Roadless Area, including some lands within the footprint of the 2018 Crescent Mountain Fire. While the stated goal is reducing wildfire risk and intensity, the project appears unlikely to accomplish this. Humid valley bottoms, where these harvests are expected, carry lower fire risk than trees killed by fire in the uplands. Salvage logging is an incentive to remove larger trees with less decay. The case has not been made that this improves forest habitat. Salvage logging often leaves areas in worse shape than we found them and is not well supported by current science. In Roadless Areas where there are opportunities to expand wilderness boundaries, salvage logging will only degrade their current condition.

- The Forest Service should not permit salvage logging in Roadless Areas.

Comments are likely to be most effective if personalized, including how the work would affect you and your direct on-the ground knowledge and experience. Comments can be submitted in the following ways:

Submit electronically by: <https://cara.ecosystem-management.org/Public//CommentInput?Project=56554>.

Or by U.S. Mail to Eireann Pederson, Project Leader, Methow Valley Ranger District, 24 West Chewuch Rd., Winthrop, WA 98862

(see next page for map)

